/		7	
	A	ps	
			,

CENTER for ADVANCED PUBLIC SAFETY

## ADECA/LETS-Office of Highway Safety TRAFFIC RECORDS COORDINATING COMMITTEE MEETING

February 11, 2016

THE UNIVERSITY OF

## **Agenda for the Meeting**



- Opening Remarks Terry Henderson
- PPT Presentation Dave Brown
  - Traffic Records Assessment Status
  - TRCC Project Updates
  - 2015 Crash Data Comparisons
  - Questions and Discussions
- Roundtable Attendees
- Closing Remarks Terry Henderson



# **TR Assessment Process**

- All Electronic
- Formal NHTSA Process
  - Three month process
  - Three rounds of responding to questions
  - First answer questions
  - Second: answer follow-up on questions
  - Final round of clarifications on questions

## **First Round Results**



#### Lots of clarifications requested

<u>Rating</u>	<u>Very</u> Important	<u>Somewhat</u> Important	<u>Less</u> Important
Meets Advisory ideal	46	26	3
Partially meets Advisory ideal	12	7	0
Does not meet Advisory ideal	94	52	2
Clarification of State response needed	94	47	8
No determination made	0	0	0

## **TR Assessment Status**



- We finished Round 2 on Feb. 5<sup>th</sup>
- One more rounds of clarifications to go
- Round 3 responding will start on Feb. 18<sup>th</sup>

Schedule: Alabama TRA								
2015/16	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	
December (12)			1	2	3	4	5	
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	STRAP Training Webinar
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	Kickoff
January (1)	27	28	29	30	31	1	2	R1 Data Collection
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	R1 Analysis
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	-
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	R2 Data Collection
	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	
February (2)	31	1	2	3	4	5	6	R2 Analysis
	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	R3 Final Data Collection
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
March (3)	28	29	1	2	3	4	5	R3 Final Analysis
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Facilitator Finalizes Report
	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
April (4)	27	28	29	30	31	1	2	Final Report Submitted
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Report Out Webinar
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	



## **Traffic Records Assessment**

- Thank you to all who helped!!
  - Help in Providing Responses
  - Help in Providing Documentation
    - Maps, flow diagrams,
    - Data dictionaries, etc.
- Could not have done it without your help
- May still need more help on Round 3

# FY2016 TSIS PROJECT OVERVIEW



- RESCUE Data Entry for EMS Runs
- MapClick Crash/Object Locating System
- Officer Activity Logbook
- Data Quality Improvement
- Upgrades to CARE and its Portals
- Traffic Safety Research







Recording of Emergency Services Calls and Urgent-Care Environment Similar to eCrash/eCite but EMS and Web-based Status:

- Web services for statewide intake developed
- Completing proper validation format for state rules
- Some rules still being developed by ADPH
- RESCUE is NEMSIS v3 certified; only 3.4.0 state

National EMS Information System

# **RESCUE-2**



### **Current Activities:**

- Authentication and User Management
  - Modeled after CAPSLock
  - Importing ADPH's ALACERT user repository
- Planned Beta Release to Single Agency (March)
- Developing the Print Capability

# MAPCLICK - 1



- GIS Location System under MOVE
- Allows Officers to Precisely Specify Locations
  - GPS is used to obtain the right general map
  - Can specify location from vehicle, office, etc.
  - Not just crashes any event or object
  - Clicking location on the map generates:
    - GPS coordinates and all street names
    - Lowest state route number
    - Nominal federal or state route number
    - Node and link codes
    - Milepoint specifications

# MAPCLICK – 2



- Currently Deployed to All ALEA Officers
- New Algorithm Development for Discrepancies
- Database Consolidation for Compatibility
- Status of Work with Municipals:
  - Over 1500 DVDs distributed across the state
  - Continue to assist installations for municipals
  - Used on 19,000 crash reports
  - Training is required: working on details
  - Matured to the point where it can be mandated

# **OFFICER ACTIVITY LOGBOOK**



- Automates Activity Log Input and Reporting
  - For troopers and other agencies
  - Current system: over 10 years old; not supported
  - Logbook dashboard
- Status:
  - Being beta tested by 10 ALEA officers
  - Need to deploy once completed
  - Will start targeting municipals at that point

# DATA QUALITY IMPROVEMENT



- Transition to eCrash and Consistency
  - Report developed to track agency non-use of eCrash
  - Exception report; fine tune for better accuracy
  - Agencies that have not submitted in 30/60 days
  - Standard filter definitions updated for eCrash fields
- Analytics to Track Quality
  - Reduction in the number of nulls and missing
  - Crosstabs to check similar variables

# **CARE PORTAL UPGRADES**



- CARE, ADVANCE, SAFETY Portals
  - Data updated nightly at 2 AM
  - Ability to save user created filters
  - Ability to run reports from user created filters
  - Improved search functionality
  - Regular dataset updates
- New Download Section of Portal
  - Personal authentication required
  - Ability to obtain datasets for CARE desktop



# **TRAFFIC SAFETY RESEARCH**

- Worst Days Analysis
  - Younger Drivers (16-20)
  - Older drivers (65+)
- Crash Type Analyses (e.g., CMVs)
  - CMV compared to non-CMV
  - CMV Causal vs CMV involved but non-causal
  - At fault CMV or non-CMV in 2-vehicle crashes
- Updates on Age Studies
- Age Break Even Points Older Drivers



D107: Driver Raw Age





Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	128,625	900	38,403
2012	128,508	874	38,963
2013	127,472	842	37,772
2014	133,303	820	39,546
2015	146,992 (+15%)	848	43,945





Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	6939	377	4119
2012	6905	370	4008
2013	6230	367	3614
2014	6473	371	3697
2015	6943 (+11%)	362	4167





Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	6910	252	3753
2012	6989	212	3824
2013	6380	209	3211
2014	5939	216	3105
2015	6355	200	3458





Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	4036	204	2581
2012	3831	196	2559
2013	3438	186	2079
2014	3339	158	2098
2015	3538	150	2320



## Youth (Age 16-20) Results by Year

Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	21,579	104	7040
2012	21,302	104	7041
2013	20,694	98	6517
2014	20,442	75	6495
2015	23,618 (+16%)	99	7727





Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	692	80	634
2012	754	80	693
2013	734	57	686
2014	759	95	682
2015	787 (+14%)	95	699



### **Motorcycle Results by Year**

Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	1910	105	1442
2012	1912	105	1477
2013	1590	85	1178
2014	1650	76	1251
2015	1529	77	1189



### Work Zone Results by Year

Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	3229	21	872
2012	2251	25	708
2013	2364	24	812
2014	2389	23	753
2015	2441	31	702

### **Bicyclist Results by Year**



Year	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
2011	280	5	229
2012	278	9	225
2013	260	6	193
2014	262	8	195
2015	248	10	184





C120: E CU Driver Employment Status



🖡 CARE 10.1.0.7 - [Crosstab Results - 2011-2015 Alabama Integrated Cr 🗕 🗖										
🖡 <u>F</u> ile <u>D</u> ash	board <u>F</u> ilters <u>/</u>	<u>A</u> nalysis <u>C</u> rosstal	b <u>L</u> ocations ]	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp	_ 8 ×				
Signature 2011-2015 Alabama Integrated Crash Data ✓ All records (do not apply a filter) Image: Signature										
Suppress Zero Values: Rows and Columns 🗸 Select Cells: 🔳 🗸 🦙 Column: Year ; Row: Rural or Urban 👰										
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL				
Pural	31737	31369	31047	31187	32260	157600				
Nulai	24.67%	24.41%	24.36%	23.40%	21.95%	23.70%				
Urban	96888	97139	96425	102116	114732	507300				
Orban	75.33%	75.59%	75.64%	76.60%	78.05%	76.30%				
ΤΟΤΛΙ	128625	128508	127472	133303	146992	664900				
TOTAL	19.35%	19.33%	19.17%	20.05%	22.11%	100.00%				

Urban increase in 2015 = 18.4% = 17,844 crashes compared to 2011

Rural increase in 2015 = 1.6% = 523 crashes compared to 2011



C011: Highway Classifications



C001: County





C001: County

CA	RE 10.1.0.7 - [IMPAC	T Results - 2	2011-201	5 Alabama	Integrated	l Crash Da	ita - 2015 A	ND Not City = B 🗕 🖵 📫				
<u>e</u>	ile <u>D</u> ashboard <u>F</u> ilters <u>A</u> r	nalysis <u>I</u> mpact	<u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> ind	dow <u>H</u> elp			_ & >				
¢?	2011-2015 Alabama	Integrated Crash D	ata 🗸	2015			~ ~	💡 🦉 1/ 1/2011 🗸 12/31/2015 🗸 🕽 🧉				
Order	: Max Gain 🗸 Desce	nding 🗸	✓ Suppress Zero-Valued Rows				Significance: Over Representation V Threshold: 2.0					
C002	City Value	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 👻 🔨	C002: City				
•	Mobile	11634	21.28	39670	20.26	1.050*	558.383					
	Phenix City	2293	4.20	6371	3.25	1.289*	514.256					
	Montgomery	9062	16.58	31024	15.85	1.046*	400.292					
	Tuscaloosa	5319	9.73	18257	9.33	1.044*	221.759	City				
	Rural Tuscaloosa	1865	3.41	6076	3.10	1.099*	168.619					
	Tarrant City	277	0.51	414	0.21	2.396*	161.414	Losers:				
	Aubum	1923	3.52	6334	3.24	1.087*	154.587					
	Opelika	2319	4.24	7832	4.00	1.061*	132.354	Significantly				
	Foley	778	1.42	2348	1.20	1.187*	122.453					
	Calera	620	1.13	1845	0.94	1.204*	104.887	Iviore Crasnes				
	Prichard	832	1.52	2635	1.35	1.131*	96.324					
	Cullman	953	1.74	3146	1.61	1.085	74.656					
	Fort Payne	375	0.69	1076	0.55	1.248*	74.587					
	Troy	740	1.35	2385	1.22	1.111*	74.123					
	Orange Beach	304	0.56	853	0.44	1.276*	65.848					
	Fultondale	327	0.60	956	0.49	1.225*	60.091					
	Union Springs	131	0.24	294	0.15	1.596*	48.917 🗸	Sort by Sum of Max Gain				
0	) 🗞 🖉							Display Filter Name				
				2011-2015 Alab	ama Integrated C	irash Data						
C002: City												



C002: City

CA	RE 10.1.0.7 - [IMPAC	T Results -	2011-201	5 Alabama	Integrated	d Crash Da	ata - 2015 A	ND Not City = B 🗕 🗆 📫
<u>e</u> i	le <u>D</u> ashboard <u>F</u> ilters <u>A</u> r	nalysis <u>I</u> mpact	<u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> in	dow <u>H</u> elp			_ & ,
¢?	2011-2015 Alabama	Integrated Crash D	ata 🗸 🗸	2015			× *	💡 📆 1/ 1/2011 v 12/31/2015 v 🕽 🌘
Order:	Max Gain 🗸 Desce	nding v	Suppress	Zero-Valued Ro	ws	[	Significance: Ove	er Representation V Threshold: 2.0
C002:	City	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 🔻 🖍	C002: City
	Livingston	59	0.11	306	0.16	0.691*	-26.433	
	Daleville	91	0.17	447	0.23	0.729*	-33.800	
	Springville	30	0.05	277	0.14	0.388*	-47.337	
	Valley	285	0.52	1219	0.62	0.837*	-55.337	City
	Alexander City	319	0.58	1395	0.71	0.819*	-70.475	
	Hartselle	348	0.64	1500	0.77	0.831*	-70.791	Winners:
	Rural Morgan	745	1.36	2971	1.52	0.898*	-84.485	vviiners.
	Jasper	686	1.26	2793	1.43	0.880*	-93.788	Significantly
	Athens	587	1.07	2444	1.25	0.860*	-95.350	olginicality
	Vestavia Hills	923	1.69	3698	1.89	0.894*	-109.459	Fewer Crashes
	Talladega	289	0.53	1434	0.73	0.722*	-111.364	
	Rural Shelby	1348	2.47	5254	2.68	0.919*	-118.884	
	Rural Calhoun	946	1.73	3839	1.96	0.883*	-125.825	
	Decatur	1943	3.55	7451	3.81	0.934*	-137.273	
	Homewood	1626	2.97	6348	3.24	0.917*	-146.322	
	Rural Mobile	2055	3.76	8116	4.15	0.907*	-210.937	
	Rural Jefferson	1378	2.52	11228	5.74	0.440*	-1756.788	Sort by Sum of Max Gain
📋 🕼 🚭 🏓								
				2011-2015 Alab	ama Integrated (	Crash Data		
					C002: City			
	30							





C403: CLI Roadway Condition

CA	RE 10.1.0.7 - [IMPAC	T Results -	2011-201	5 Alabama	Integrated	l Crash Da	ata - 2015 .	AN	D Not CU	Driv —		^
🖳 <u>F</u> i	le <u>D</u> ashboard <u>F</u> ilters <u>A</u> r	nalysis <u>I</u> mpac	t <u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> in	dow <u>H</u> elp						-	. 8 >
¢°	2011-2015 Alabama	Integrated Crash [	)ata 🗸 🗸	2015			~	Ŷ	1/ 1/	/2011 v 12/31/2	2015 🕔	/ 🕽 (
Order: Natural Order V Descending V Suppress Zero-Valued Rows							Significance: Over Representation V Threshold: 2.0					
C107:	CU Driver Raw Age	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain	^	C107: CU Dr	iver Raw Age		
	18	5208	3.98	17929	3.83	1.038*	192.583					
	19	5231	4.00	18450	3.94	1.014	69.840					
	20	4901	3.75	17242	3.69	1.016	77.763					
	21	4696	3.59	16506	3.53	1.017	78.650					
	22	4329	3.31	15537	3.32	0.996	-17.284					
	23	4150	3.17	14401	3.08	1.030	121.498					
	24	3979	3.04	12761	2.73	1.115*	409.268					
	25	3607	2.76	12070	2.58	1.068*	230.566					
	26	3264	2.49	11248	2.40	1.037	117.511					
	27	2996	2.29	10362	2.22	1.034	97.358					
	28	2853	2.18	10202	2.18	1.000	-0.884	~	Sort by Sum	of Max Gain		
00	) 🐼 🖉									🗌 Display Fi	lter Nar	me

2011-2015 Alabama Integrated Crash Data

C107: CU Driver Raw Age





C025: Crash Severity



#### Car Seat Alert - Is Your Child In Danger?

A startling new report shows the danger of buckling your child into their car seat while wearing a jacket.

Check Out the Video HERE!

**Click Here For More INFO** 





Whether you are a traffic safety professional or a private citizen contributing to the traffic safety effort, it is our goal to help you in every way that we can. This website was designed to be the first state-level traffic safety site to be inclusive of all efforts in the state. We are not associated with any single state agency. We depend on volunteers, not only from the state traffic safety agencies, but from all governmental and private service groups within the state that care to contribute information on their traffic safety activities.





#### CARE/eCrash

#### CARE/eCrash

The Critical Analysis Reporting Environment (CARE) is a data analysis software package originally designed for problem identification and countermeasure development in traffic safety applications. Developed by the staff of the Center for Advanced Public Safety, CARE uses advanced analytical and statistical techniques to generate valuable information directly from the data. Although its primary use is

#### **CARE On-Line Analysis Is Now Available**

Most of your statistical information needs can be obtained right on line from the new CARE Dashboard, which was developed by the University of Alabama Center for Advanced Public Safety (CAPS). Please review the Read Me document (also available when you access the CARE site), which will explain the data and use of the Dashboard. An on-line version of CARE is also available - just click the CARE button in the upper left area of the Dashboard. To download the desktop version and data, access the Download page of the CAPS website. If you would like to see details on the CARE software, it is available on the CARE page of the CAPS website. If you see any problem or need help, e-mail care@cs.ua.edu or call 205-348-7920.

#### eCrash System Deployed

The Alabama Department of Public Safety teamed with the University of Alabama Center for Advanced Public Safety (CAPS) to develop eCrash, the nation's first totally paperless crash reporting system. Except for the reports provided to those involved in crashes, all other aspects of eCrash are paperless, from the officers' entry of the data (in many cases in their vehicles), through the approval process and uploading to the crash records database in Montgomery. The use of eCrash for data entry in the officers' vehicles will keep them in the field where they can respond to emergencies; and moving the data entry to the field will make the data more accurate, timely, complete and





## **Questions and Comments**

