CENTER for ADVANCED PUBLIC SAFETY

ADECA Special Study Report ANALYSIS OF SPEED-RELATED CRASHES IN CY2012-2016

For general information from NHTSA and other sources, see:

http://www.safehomealabama.gov/tag/speeding/

September 2017

THE UNIVERSITY OF



- Recommendations Ordered Like Slides
 - References will be to the attribute number
 - E.g., C003=Year
- Impress to a Greater Extent: Lethality of Speed
 - 72% of fatal crashes = speed or speed related causes
 - 10MPH increase doubles chance crash is fatal
- Recatal Crashes
 - Fatal speexd crashes increased 35%
 - Fatal non-speed crashes increase by 21%
 - Recent fatality increase links 72% to speed involved

Speed Reduction to Reduce Fatalities



Analysis: Fatal Crash AND Speed vs Fatal and NOT Speeding

- Rural roadways about 77% of speed fatalities
- County roads almost 50% of speed fatalities
- Younger Drivers 16-35 (60% speed vs 37% non-speed)
- Potential Immediate Actions:
 - Increase in patrol officers ALEA and local
 - Demonstration speed reduction project (comprehensive)
 - Legislative action to recognize problem
 - Assure compliance with selective enforcement targeting
 - Roadway improvements: trees, rollovers, utility poles, culverts, ditches, embankments (Most Harmful Event)



Seatbelt Use Target Groups

Analysis: Fatal NOT Restrained vs NonF Properly Restrained

- DUI (5 times the expected)
- Other Severe Violations
 - Speed (8.3); Aggressive (5.4)
- Age 16-20 (risk); 21-37 (correlation with DUI)
- Single Vehicle Crashes (3.3 times expected)
- Potential Immediate Actions
 - Get "Budweiser" to promote seatbelt use ("save our customers")
 - PI&E targeting the worst offenders
 - Their friends and relatives people of influence over them
 - Draw targets from intensive psychological studies



- **Multi-Fatality Crash Target Groups**
- Analysis: Multiple Fatality Crashes vs Single Fatality Crashes
- Age 16-21
- State/Federal Roads as Opposed to County
- Severest of Violations
 - Cross centerline, wrong way, aggressive driving
 - DUI same as for single fatality crashes & seatbelts
- Collisions with other Vehicles
 - As opposed to roadside objects (e.g., trees)
- Countermeasures Must Target Worst Offenders

Most Counter-Intuitive Findings

- Weather Conditions and Speed
 - Lowest % speed related fatalities occur in rain
 - Speed increases wet pavement crash % by a factor of 3.5
- Impaired Driving and Speed
 - **/ DUI alcohol use increases 69% for speed crashes**
 - Reported drug use increases 44% for speed crashes
- Age and Speed
 - Highest frequency ages, in order: 18, 17, 19, 20, 16
 - Drop-off after 20 is consistent to 33
 - Ages 16-21 cause about 33% of all speed crashes
 - Six years = 6/50 = 12% of the miles driven (approximate)
 - Roadway
 - More County speed fatal crashes than I/S/F combined
 - Trees are Most Deadly Hit Objects in Speed Crashes



Introduction: PPT Organization



IMPACT and Frequency Comparisons

- Speed vs Non-speed IMPACTs for overall crashes
- A few straight numerical comparisons

Presentation Approach

- Conclusion summaries given first for each section
- Analytical support for conclusions presented next

Introductory Definitions



What is a "Speed" Crash

- "Speed" is abbreviation for "Speed Involved"
- Based on PCC or CU-CC (see logic below)
- Is good sample of speed crashes but NOT 100%

8	Filter Logic: Speeding	_ 🗆 🗙
Logic Tree Logic Text		
 One or more of the following are true 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated 	e (OR) Crash Data: Primary Contributing Circumstance is equal to O Crash Data: Primary Contributing Circumstance is equal to D Crash Data: CU Contributing Circumstance is equal to Over Crash Data: CU Contributing Circumstance is equal to Drivin	iver Speed Limit Iniving too Fast for Conditions Speed Limit Ing too Fast for Conditions
45841 records selected by this filter.		.::

Introductory Analyses

- CENTER for ADVANCED PUBLIC SAFETY
- Speed vs Non-Speed Crashes 2012-2016
 - Five year time frame to get best recent sample
 - Speed vs non-speed IMPACTs to surface root causes
- Analysis by Year Comparing 2016 to 2012
 - Small 3% overall increase in all speed crashes
 - Larger 23% increase in all non-speed crashes
- Recent (2016 to 2015) Comparison, Fatal Crashes
 - Fatal speed crashes increased 35%
 - Fatal non-speed crashes increase by 21%
 - Recent fatality increase links 72% to speed involved





2014 vs 2016 % Increase in Fatal Crashes



Speed (20%) + Related PCCs = Ran off Road (17%) + Aggressive Operation (15%) + DUI (7%) + Crossed Centerline (7%) + Over Steering (6%) = 72% Speed or Speed Related

IMPACT Analysis Organization

- Crash Severity Causes
 - **Restraints and Helmets, EMS Arrival, Weather**
- Driver Behavior
 - PCC, DUI, Speed
- Driver Demographics Age and Gender
- Time Considerations Time of Day, Day of Week, Month
- Geographical Characteristics
 - County, City, Rural/Urban, Locale
- Roadway Characteristics
- Weather and Roadway Condition
- Crash Characteristics
- Pedestrian Behavior
- Vehicle Characteristics
- Recommended Countermeasures





Crash Severity

Overall Effect of Speed Involvement

- Probability of death increases from 0.48% to 2.19%
- Highest severity* odds ratios: 4.6, 2.8 and 2.1
- PDO decrease from 76.68% down to 61.72%
- **Speed Effect of Fatal and Severe Crashes**
 - Fatalities increased by 785 crashes = 157/year
 - Highest severity* increased by 6,805 = 1,361/year

*Highest severity = Fatal, Incapacitating & Non-Incapacitating Injuries

CARE 10.1.0.19 - [IMPACT Results - 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Speeding vs. Not S... 🗕

×





CARE 10.1.0.19 - [IMPACT Results - 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Speeding vs. Not S...

B E	ile <u>D</u> ashboard <u>F</u> ilte	ers <u>A</u> nalysi	s <u>I</u> mpac	t <u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> ir	ndow <u>H</u> elp			_ & ×
¢?	2012-2016 Alabama Integ	rated Crash Da	ita	~	Speeding	XTab SpdLimit Im	pSpd	Ý	💡 🋐 1/ 1/2012 🗸 12/31/2016 🗸 🎒
Orde	r: Natural Order 🗸 🗸	Descending	×	Suppres	s Zero-Valued Ro	ows	Sig	nificance: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0
C059	: Number Injured (Includ	es Fatalities)	Subset requency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain	C330: CU Driver/Non-Motorist Transport C329: CU Driver/Non-Motorist First Aid B
•	No Injuries		29035	63.34	514020	79.22	0.800*	-7277.962	C324: CU Driver Airbag Status
	1 Injury		12847	28.03	98832	15.23	1.840*	5865.010	C022: E Type of Roadway Junction/Featu
	2 Injuries		2719	5.93	25068	3.86	1.535*	948.070	C025: Crash Severity
	3 Injuries		794	1.73	7070	1.09	1.590*	294.540	C035: EMS Arrival Delay
	4 Injuries		291	0.63	2398	0.37	1.718*	121.593	C059: Number Injured (Includes Fatalitie
	5 Injuries		101	0.22	912	0.14	1.568*	36.572	C208: CU Model Year
	6 Injuries		25	0.05	351	0.05	1.008	0.204	C036: Adjusted EMS Arrival Delay
	7 Injuries		20	0.04	132	0.02	2.145*	10.675	C037: Non-Vehicular Property Damage
	8 Injuries		4	0.01	41	0.01	1.381	1.104	C029: Lighting Conditions
	9 Injuries		3	0.01	25	0.00	1.699	1.234	C058: Number Injured (Non-Fatal)
	12 Injuries		1	0.00	8	0.00	1.769	0.435	C048: Regional Planning Organization
	19 Injuries		1	0.00	3	0.00	4.718	0.788	C026: Intersection Related ✓ Sort by Sum of Max Gain

📋 🕼 🐼 🖉

Frequency

Display Filter Name

х

2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data C059: Number Injured (Includes Fatalities) **Restraints and Helmets** Effects of Speed on Crash Severity



- Increases:
 - About 4.5 times for all speed involved
 - About 25 times for speed and no restraint
 - Over 20 times for speed and approved MC helmet
 - Over 26 times for speed and no MC helmet
 - Over 39 times for speed and improper MC helmet
 - Over 5 times the total ejection rate





EMS Arrival



Effects on Crash Severity

Rural High Speeds and Remote Locations

- Fatal probability (2.19% overall=1 in 46 crashes):
 - 2.90% rural; 1.21% urban
 - Increase in rural area more than a factor of 2
 - See rural/urban under geographical considerations
- **Delay times**

- All above 10 minutes overrepresented
- Increasing overrepresentations with longer times



🖡 CARE 10.1.0.19 - [Crosstab Results - 2012-2016 Alabama I 🗕 🗖 🔀										
🔋 <u>F</u> ile <u>D</u> asht	ooard <u>F</u> ilters <u>A</u>	<u>A</u> nalysis <u>C</u> rosstał	o <u>L</u> ocations <u>T</u>	ools <u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp _ & X					
⁽²⁾ 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data ✓ Speeding										
Suppress Zero Va	lues: None	Cells: 🔳 🛛 🔏	Column: Row	Rural or Urban ; 🕢						
	Rural	Urban	TOTAL							
Fatal Injury	770	234	1004							
Incapacitating Injury	4015	1185	5200							
Non- Incapacitating Inju	4627	2114	6741							
Possible Injury	1533	2266	3799							
Property Damage Only	15376	12916	28292							
Unknown	216	589	805							
TOTAL	26537	19304	45841]						

Rural: Higher speeds and longer EMS delay times result in higher severity crashes.

Weather Effects on Severity



- Speed Was the Reported Factor but ...
- Most Reported as "Too Fast for Conditions"
 - Probability of Fatal Speed Crash by Weather:

<u>Cc</u>	ondition	Probability, 1 in XX						
✓	Clear	26 (worst case)						
✓	Cloudy	37						
✓	Fog	46						
✓	Rain	101 (best case)						
✓	All crashes	169 (all speeds & weather conditions)						
✓	See weather frequency analysis below under Roadway							

🔋 CARE 10.1.0.19 - [Crosstab Results - 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Filter 🗕 🖵 🔤											
🚦 <u>F</u> ile <u>D</u> ashb	ooard <u>F</u> ilters <u>/</u>	<u>A</u> nalysis <u>C</u> rosstal	b <u>L</u> ocations <u>T</u>	ools <u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp		-	ъ×			
😵 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data 🗸 Speeding 🗸 Y											
Suppress Zero Values: None 🗸 Select Cells: 🖬 - 📆 🌍 Column: Crash Severity ; Row: Weather 👰											
	Fatal Injury	Incapacitating Injury	Non- Incapacitating Inju	Possible Injury	Property Damage Only	Unknown	TOTAL				
Clear	627 62.45%	2690 51.76%	3075 45.62%	1322 34.81%	8343 29.49%	321 39.88%	16378 35.73%				
Cloudy	214 21.31%	1028 19.78%	1247 18.50%	646 17.01%	4581 16.19%	110 13.66%	7826 17.08%				
Fog	12 1.20%	69 1.33%	96 1.42%	35 0.92%	340 1.20%	5 0.62%	557 1.22%	1			
E Mist	23 2.29%	178 3.43%	267 3.96%	236 6.21%	1586 5.61%	40 4.97%	2330 5.08%	1			
Rain	116 11.55%	1161 22.34%	1960 29.08%	1488 39.18%	12443 43.99%	293 36.40%	17461 38.10%	1			
Sleet/Hail/Freezin g Rain	2	39 0.75%	43 0.64%	29 0.76%	421 1.49%	17 2.11%	551 1.20%				
Snow	3	21 0.40%	42	32 0.84%	474 1.68%	13 1.61%	585 1.28%	1			
E Blowing Snow	0 00%	2	3	1	36 0.13%	0 00%	42	1			
Severe Winds	0.00%	4	2	1	10	0.00%	17				
E Blowing Sand/Soil/Dirt	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	1			
Other	2	5 0.10%	4	6 0.16%	34 0.12%	1	52 0.11%	1			
Unknown	5	0	2	2	20	5	34 0.07%	1			
TOTAL	1004 2.19%	5197 11.34%	6741 14.71%	3798 8.29%	28288 61.72%	805 1.76%	45833 100.00%	1			

Weather by Crash Severity for Speed Infolved Crashes Fatal Over-Representations: Clear and Cloudy

Driver Behavior



Largest Supplemental PCCs for Speed Crashes

- DUI, Aggressive, Ran off Road, Avoiding Animal
- Over Steering, Fatigue, Distracted Electronic
- Exponential doubling for every 10 MPH

Impact Speeds

- 7.8% had Impact Speeds > 70 MPH
- ✓ 68.7% had 36 MPH < Impact Speed < 70 MPH</p>
- 4x over-representations for 76 < Impact Speeds < 95</p>
- Over-Rep in Speed: DUI (43.5%); Drugs (68.9%)
- Speed Multi-Fatals Increased Fatalities by 166

Top 10 Supplemental PCC for Speed Crashes 2012-2016 All Severity Speed Crashes



Ordered worst first: DUI, Aggressive Operation, Ran off Road, Avoiding Animal, Asleep, E-Distracted, Over Steering, Improper Pass, Wrong Way

8 2	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cra	ash Data	~	Speeding)			✓ Y </th
Order:	Max Gain V Desce	nding v	Suppres	Representation V Threshold: 2.0				
C015:	Primary Contributing Circumst	ance Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 🔻	C015: Primary Contributing Circumstance
	DUI	2064	26.90	20978	5.46	4.923*	1644.722	
	E Aggressive Operation	746	9.72	8772	2.28	4.255*	570.678	PCCs for > 100
	E Ran off Road	627	8.17	15115	3.94	2.075*	324.903	Speed Crashes
	E Swerved to Avoid Animal	445	5.80	7602	1.98	2.929*	293.062	
	E Over Correcting/Over Steeri	244	3.18	5884	1.53	2.075*	126.399	2012-2016
	E Fatigued/Asleep	340	4.43	11021	2.87	1.544*	119.728]]
	E Distracted by Use of Electro	210	2.74	5436	1.42	1.933*	101.353	
	P Driver Not in Control	160	2.09	3698	0.96	2.165*	86.090	
	Improper Passing	162	2.11	5540	1.44	1.463*	51.274	
▶	Traveling Wrong Way/Wrong	118	1.54	3341	0.87	1.767*	51.225	
	E Other Distraction Inside the	342	4.46	15908	4.14	1.076	24.054	
	E Swerved to Avoid Vehicle	356	4.64	16672	4.34	1.068	22.784	
	E Ran Stop Sign	128	1.67	5678	1.48	1.128	14.516]]
	E Crossed Centerline	123	1.60	7435	1.94	0.828	-25.600	
	Defective Equipment	171	2.23	11543	3.01	0.741*	-59.705]]
	Improper Lane Change/Use	138	1.80	31015	8.08	0.223*	-481.883	
	Unseen Object/Person/Vehicle	182	2.37	46726	12.17	0.195*	-751.892	
	Misjudge Stopping Distance	451	5.88	65321	17.01	0.345*	-854.542	
	Followed too Close	666	8.68	96223	25.06	0.346*	-1257.167	Sort by Sum of Max Gain





C015: Primary Contributing Circumstance

Order:	Max Gain 🗸 Desce	ending v	 Suppres 	ss Zero-Valued F	Rows		Significa	nce: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0
C224:	CU Estimated Speed at Impac	t Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Ma	x Gain	C224: CU Estimated Speed at Impact
•	1 to 5 MPH	17	2.66	14765	18.07	0.1	147	-98.665	
	6 to 10 MPH	18	2.81	9661	11.83	0.2	238	-57.682	To See Speed Effects:
	11 to 15 MPH	16	2.50	6361	7.79	0.3	321	-33.830	
	16 to 20 MPH	9	1.41	4794	5.87	0.2	240	-28.555	ALL FAIAL CRASHES
	21 to 25 MPH	7	1.09	4233	5.18	0.2	211	-26.160	VS NON-FATAL
	26 to 30 MPH	3	0.47	4632	5.67	0.0	083	-33.286	
	31 to 35 MPH	15	2.34	5237	6.41	0.3	366	-26.025	
	36 to 40 MPH	22	3.44	4815	5.89	0.5	83*	-15.719	Fatal (red bars) vs
	41 to 45 MPH	36	5.63	7305	8.94	0.6	29*	-21.225	non-fatal (blue bars)
	46 to 50 MPH	41	6.41	3568	4.37	1.4	67*	13.049	
	51 to 55 MPH	101	15.78	5835	7.14	2.2	10*	55.290	for CY 2016
	56 to 60 MPH	61	9.53	2715	3.32	2.8	68*	39.731	
	61 to 65 MPH	65	10.16	2936	3.59	2.8	26*	42.000	
	66 to 70 MPH	88	13.75	3394	4.15	3.3	10*	61.412	Exponential increase:
	71 to 75 MPH	36	5.63	663	0.81	6.9	31*	30.806	Odds ratio doubles
	76 to 80 MPH	40	6.25	427	0.52	11.9	58*	36.655	for every increase
	81 to 85 MPH	13	2.03	149	0.18	11.1	138	11.833	
	86 to 90 MPH	19	2.97	103	0.13	23.5	548	18.193	ot 10 MPH
	91 to 95 MPH	7	1.09	19	0.02	47.0	030	6.851	
	96 to 100 MPH	16	2.50	56	0.07	36.4	472	15.561	
	Over 100 MPH	10	1.56	30	0.04	42.5	551	9.765	Sort by Sum of Max Gain





Probabiliy of Death in Speed Crashes by Impact Speed



1	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cr	ash Data	¥.	Speeding				✓ ♥ 1/ 1/2012 ∨ 12/31/2016 ∨
Orde	r: Max Gain 🗸 Desce	ending 🗸	Suppres	s Zero-Valued R	ows	Sig	gnificance: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0
C22	4: CU Estimated Speed at Impac	t Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain	C224: CU Estimated Speed at Impact
	31 to 35 MPH	2090	6.41	23485	16.10	0.398*	-3160.546	
	36 to 40 MPH	2703	8.29	21096	14.46	0.573	-2013.437	HOW FAST:
	41 to 45 MPH	4897	15.01	30259	20.74	0.724*	-1868.011	Speed (red bars) vs
	46 to 50 MPH	3822	11.72	14137	9.69	1.209	• 661.388	Speed (red bars) vs
	51 to 55 MPH	5273	16.17	22955	15.73	1.027	7 140.946	non-speed (blue bars)
	56 to 60 MPH	4374	13.41	8200	5.62	2.386	* 2540.724	for 2012-2016
	61 to 65 MPH	3672	11.26	10152	6.96	1.618	• 1402.315	
	66 to 70 MPH	2990	9.17	12022	8.24	1.112	* 302.239	All speeds above 20
	71 to 75 MPH	1121	3.44	1785	1.22	2.809*	• 721.927	All speeds above 50
	76 to 80 MPH	866	2.65	918	0.63	4.220*	• 660.763	MPH were over-
	81 to 85 MPH	345	1.06	271	0.19	5.694*	• 284.412	represented in the
	86 to 90 MPH	226	0.69	219	0.15	4.616	• 177.038	
	91 to 95 MPH	51	0.16	54	0.04	4.224*	* 38.927	general analysis
	96 to 100 MPH	122	0.37	231	0.16	2.362*	• 70.355	
	Over 100 MPH	66	0.20	112	0.08	2.636	• 40.960	Sort by Sum of Max Gain

📋 🕼 🚳 🖉

Display Filter Name

2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data

C224: CU Estimated Speed at Impact





Speed Crashes had 43.5% greater proportion than expected from non-speed.



Speed had a 68.9% higher proportion of alcohol use compared to the non-speed proportion





Many drivers who have speed crashes have had serious violation problems in the past.

Driver Demographics



AGE

- Critical Ages 14-15; 16-30
- No significant over-representations after 30
- GENDER
 - Males 14.1% higher than expected
| | | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent | Ratio | | |
|---|----|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|-------------------------|
| ▶ | 14 | 49 | 0.11 | 192 | 0.03 | 3.382* | 34.510 | |
| | 15 | 137 | 0.31 | 1048 | 0.18 | 1.732* | 57.909 | Spood (rad bars) vs |
| | 16 | 2160 | 4.96 | 16428 | 2.84 | 1.742* | 920.203 | Speed (led bars) vs |
| | 17 | 2500 | 5.74 | 18406 | 3.19 | 1.800* | 1110.926 | non-speed (blue bars) |
| | 18 | 2591 | 5.94 | 21286 | 3.69 | 1.613* | 984.577 | 2012 2016 |
| | 19 | 2491 | 5.72 | 21982 | 3.81 | 1.502* | 832.051 | 2012-2016 |
| | 20 | 2205 | 5.06 | 20602 | 3.57 | 1.418* | 650.197 | |
| | 21 | 2077 | 4.77 | 19848 | 3.44 | 1.387* | 579.101 | |
| | 22 | 1872 | 4.29 | 18860 | 3.27 | 1.315* | 448.664 | |
| | 23 | 1782 | 4.09 | 17668 | 3.06 | 1.336* | 448.622 | |
| | 24 | 1478 | 3.39 | 16174 | 2.80 | 1.211* | 257.372 | |
| | 25 | 1405 | 3.22 | 15224 | 2.64 | 1.223* | 256.067 | |
| | 26 | 1270 | 2.91 | 14040 | 2.43 | 1.199* | 210.422 | |
| | 27 | 1082 | 2.48 | 13084 | 2.27 | 1.096* | 94.570 | |
| | 28 | 1044 | 2.40 | 12492 | 2.16 | 1.107* | 101.247 | |
| | 29 | 1009 | 2.31 | 11949 | 2.07 | 1.119* | 107.227 | |
| | 30 | 983 | 2.26 | 11595 | 2.01 | 1.123* | 107.942 🗸 | Sort by Sum of Max Gain |

📋 🕼 | 🌚 🖉

Display Filter Name

2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data

C107: CU Driver Raw Age



		Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	Ratio	That crain		
▶	18	2591	5.94	21286	3.69	1.613*	984.577		
	17	2500	5.74	18406	3.19	1.800*	1110.926		Speed (red bars) vs
	19	2491	5.72	21982	3.81	1.502*	832.051		Speed (red bars) vs
	20	2205	5.06	20602	3.57	1.418*	650.197		non-speed (blue bars)
	16	2160	4.96	16428	2.84	1.742*	920.203		2012 2016
	21	2077	4.77	19848	3.44	1.387*	579.101		2012-2010
	22	1872	4.29	18860	3.27	1.315*	448.664		
	23	1782	4.09	17668	3.06	1.336*	448.622		
	24	1478	3.39	16174	2.80	1.211*	257.372		
	25	1405	3.22	15224	2.64	1.223*	256.067		
	26	1270	2.91	14040	2.43	1.199*	210.422		
	27	1082	2.48	13084	2.27	1.096*	94.570		
	28	1044	2.40	12492	2.16	1.107*	101.247		
	29	1009	2.31	11949	2.07	1.119*	107.227		
	30	983	2.26	11595	2.01	1.123*	107.942		
	31	888	2.04	11389	1.97	1.033	28.489		
	32	871	2.00	10951	1.90	1.054	44.544	~	Sort by Sum of Max Gain
-								_	

📋 🕼 🐟 🖉

Display Filter Name

2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data

C107: CU Driver Raw Age





Speed over-represented with male drivers (14.1%).

Time Considerations



Over-Represented Times of Speed Crashes:

- Morning: 12:00 Midnight to 7:00 AM
- Late night: 8:00 PM to Midnight
- This pattern correlates extremely well with impaired driving
- Day-of-the-Week also Reflects
 - Typical DUI pattern
 - Fatal crash pattern
- Month
 - Significantly over-represented: Jan, Feb, Jul, Dec
 - Definitely affected by presence of holidays

🖡 CARE 10.1.0.19 - [IMPACT Results - 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Speeding vs. Not S... 🗕 🗖

🖡 Ei	le <u>D</u> ashboard <mark>Eilters</mark> <u>A</u>	<u>A</u> nalysis <u>I</u> mpa	ict _	Location:	s <u>T</u> ools <u>V</u>	<u>V</u> indow <u>H</u> elp)		_ & ×
6	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated C	rash Data		~	Speedin	ng			✓ ♥ 1/ 1/2012 ∨ 12/31/2016 ∨
Order	Max Gain 🗸 Desc	ending ·	· [Suppres	ss Zero-Valued	Rows	5	Significance: Ove	r Representation V Threshold: 2.0 主
C008:	Time of Day	Subset Frequency	Sul Perc	bset cent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain	C001: County C002: City
▶	12:00 Midnight to 12:59 AM	1121		2.45	7744	1.19	2.049*	573.925	C003: Year
	1:00 AM to 1:59 AM	951		2.07	6653	1.03	2.023*	480.999	C004: Month
	2:00 AM to 2:59 AM	870		1.90	6266	0.97	1.965*	427.338	C005: Day of Month
	3:00 AM to 3:59 AM	817		1.78	5295	0.82	2.184*	442.935	C007: Week of the Year
	4:00 AM to 4:59 AM	834		1.82	5687	0.88	2.076*	432.242	C008: Time of Day
	5:00 AM to 5:59 AM	1339		2.92	9186	1.42	2.063*	690.055	C009: Data Source
	6:00 AM to 6:59 AM	1706		3.72	15419	2.38	1.566*	616.724	C010: Rural or Urban
	7:00 AM to 7:59 AM	2598		5.67	40513	6.24	0.908*	-264.042	C011: Highway Classifications
	8:00 AM to 8:59 AM	1813		3.95	27955	4.31	0.918*	-161.882	C013: E Highway Side
	9:00 AM to 9:59 AM	1717		3.75	25280	3.90	0.961	-68.907	C015: Primary Contributing Circumstanc
	10:00 AM to 10:59 AM	1870		4.08	29111	4.49	0.909*	-186.548	C016: Primary Contributing Unit Numbe
	11:00 AM to 11:59 AM	1866		4.07	35801	5.52	0.738*	-663.163 🗸	Sort by Sum of Max Gain

📋 🕼 🚳 🖉

Display Filter Name

×







C004: Month

Geographical Features



Geographical Characteristics

- **Counties with significantly higher proportions:**
 - <u>Talladega</u>, Delalb, Escambia, <u>Limestone</u>
 - <u>Elmore</u>, Cullman and Marshall

- Rural Areas of Counties Over-Rep in Speed Crashes
 - Confirmed by comparing rural proportions for each county
 - Confirmed by general rural-urban speed crash comparison
 - Confirmed by Locale = Open Country over-representation

6	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cro	ash Data		~	Speeding	AND Fatal Crash	ies	~	✓ ♥ 1/ 1/2012 ∨ 12/31/2016 ∨		
Order	r: Max Gain 🗸 Desce	ending	Ý	Suppres	s Zero-Valued R	ows	Sig	gnificance: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0		
C001	County	Sub Freque	bset ency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 🔻	C001: County		
	Talladega		43	9.37	8775	2.24	4.190*	32.738			
	Dekalb		31	6.75	6073	1.55	4.365*	23.898	Speed (red bars) vs		
	Escambia		20	4.36	4040	1.03	4.233*	15.275	Speed (red bars) vs		
	Limestone		25	5.45	8374	2.13	2.553*	15.207	non-speed (blue bars)		
	Elmore		26	5.66	9437	2.40	2.356*	14.964			
	Cullman		27	5.88	11053	2.82	2.089*	14.074			
	Marshall		22	4.79	11520	2.94	1.633*	8.528	Counties with 20 or		
	Etowah		20	4.36	15572	3.97	1.098	1.789	more fatal speed		
	Baldwin		25	5.45	23984	6.11	0.891	-3.048	crashes over		
	Tuscaloosa		39	8.50	38573	9.83	0.865	-6.110			
	Mobile		72	15.69	72089	18.37	0.854	-12.305	2012-2016		
	Madison		43	9.37	53817	13.71	0.683*	-19.937			
	Jefferson		66	14.38	129182	32.91	0.437*	-85.073	Sort by Sum of Max Gain		
	📋 🕼 🚭 🎾										
	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data										
					C	001: County					



🔋 Ei	le <u>D</u> ashboard <u>F</u> ilters <u>A</u>	nalysis <u>I</u> mpac	t <u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> ir	ndow <u>H</u> elp			
۴	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cr	ash Data	~	Speeding			~	Y Y Y 1/ 1/2012 √ 12/31/2016 √
Order:	Max Gain 🗸 Desce	ending 🗸	Suppress	SZero-Valued Ro	ows	Sig	nificance: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0
C002:	City	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 🔻	C002: City
•	Rural Cullman	1252	5.24	3901	1.32	3.961*	935.943	Speed (Subset) vs non-
	Rural Madison	1510	6.32	7158	2.43	2.604*	930.062	spood (Othor)
	Rural Talladega	801	3.35	2816	0.95	3.511*	572.849	speed (Other)
	Rural Dekalb	666	2.79	1588	0.54	5.176*	537.341	
	Rural Morgan	747	3.13	2830	0.96	3.258*	517.715	Cities with 500 or
	Rural St. Clair	731	3.06	2841	0.96	3.176*	500.823	more speed crashes
	Rural Calhoun	819	3.43	3945	1.34	2.562*	499.378	more speed crashes
	Rural Etowah	658	2.75	1973	0.67	4.116*	498.148	over
	Rural Walker	690	2.89	2676	0.91	3.183*	473.192	2012-2016
	Rural Baldwin	913	3.82	5431	1.84	2.075*	472.983	
	Rural Marshall	614	2.57	1925	0.65	3.937*	458.037	Detterre
	Rural Jefferson	1458	6.10	12514	4.24	1.438*	444.121	Pattern:
	Rural Elmore	649	2.72	2607	0.88	3.073*	437.782	Rural areas of highly
	Rural Tuscaloosa	978	4.09	7213	2.45	1.674*	393.606	populated counties
	Rural Limestone	691	2.89	3671	1.24	2.323*	393.577	are generally over
	Rural Chilton	519	2.17	1982	0.67	3.232*	358.419	are generally over-
	Rural Lauderdale	554	2.32	2440	0.83	2.802*	356.312	represented. Larger
	Rural Montgomery	526	2.20	3318	1.12	1.957*	257.177	urban areas are under-
	Rural Mobile	935	3.91	9272	3.14	1.245*	183.786	represented
	Rural Shelby	586	2.45	6279	2.13	1.152*	77.278	represented.
	Hoover	712	2.98	14023	4.75	0.627*	-424.138	
	Huntsville	1586	6.64	37787	12.81	0.518*	-1475.487	
	Mobile	2445	10.23	50981	17.29	0.592*	-1685.460	
	Montgomery	1284	5.37	40422	13.71	0.392*	-1990.974	
	Birmingham	1572	6.58	64619	21.91	0.300*	-3663.405	Sort by Sum of Max Gain

📋 🕼 🚳 🖉

Display Filter Name





Roadway Features



- Fatality Over-Represented Highway Classes
 - Primarily County (17,600; OR=2.887)
 - Interstate (7,388; OR=1.700)
- Over-Represented:
 - Event Location: Roadside, Shoulder, Off Roadway
 Median, Outside of ROW
 - Traffic Control: No-Passing and Warning Signs
 - All Curves, especially on down-grades (ORs=3 to 7)
 - Non-intersection crashes (characteristic of rural)



CARE 10.	1.0.19 - [Cro	sstab Results	- 2012-2016	Alabama In	tegrated Cras	sh Data - Filt	er = Speedin	g] 🗕 🗆 📫
🚦 <u>F</u> ile <u>D</u> ashb	ooard <u>F</u> ilters <u>/</u>	<u>A</u> nalysis <u>C</u> rosstal	b <u>L</u> ocations <u>T</u>	ools <u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp			_ 8 >
2012-2016	Alabama Integrated C	ìrash Data	~	Speeding		~	n 🖓 🦉 🦉	/2012 v 12/31/2016 v
Suppress Zero Va	lues: None	✓ Select	Cells: 🔳 🕶 🔀	9		Column: Crash	Severity ; Row: Hig	nway Classifications 🕢
	Fatal Injury	Incapacitating Injury	Non- Incapacitating Inju	Possible Injury	Property Damage Only	Unknown	TOTAL	
Internitio	83	432	839	627	5332	75	7388	
Interstate	8.27%	8.31%	12.45%	16.50%	18.85%	9.32%	16.12%	
Federal	121	550	671	442	3227	79	5090	
reueral	12.05%	10.58%	9.95%	11.63%	11.41%	9.81%	11.10%	
Charles	210	871	1002	558	3676	118	6435	
State	20.92%	16.75%	14.86%	14.69%	12.99%	14.66%	14.04%	
County	494	2813	3249	1112	9701	231	17600	
County	49.20%	54.10%	48.20%	29.27%	34.29%	28.70%	38.39%	
Municipal	94	526	960	1037	6277	297	9191	
Municipal	9.36%	10.12%	14.24%	27.30%	22.19%	36.89%	20.05%	
Drivete Dreserty	2	8	20	23	78	5	136	
Frivate Froperty	0.20%	0.15%	0.30%	0.61%	0.28%	0.62%	0.30%	
D Other	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
FOther	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
τοται	1004	5200	6741	3799	28292	805	45841	
TOTAL	2.19%	11.34%	14.71%	8.29%	61.72%	1.76%	100.00%	

County Roads have 38.39% of all speed crashes, but 49.20% of the fatal speed crashes. Interstate, Federal and State combined have fewer fatal crashes (414 = 41.23%).



C203: CU First Harmful Event Location





C407: CU Roadway Curvature and Grade

CARE 10.1.0.19 - [IMPACT Results - 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Speeding vs. Not S... -

×



Weather – Road Condition



- Generally, Reduced Speeds Reduce Fatalities
- For Crashes Analyzed, Speed <u>Was</u> Involved
- Increased Probability of Crash with Bad Weather
- Crash Multipliers (of Odds Ratios) for Speed Crashes

	Condition	Speed Multiplie
✓	Speed and Ice	17.2
✓	Speed and Slush	14.8
✓	Speed and Water Buildup	11.5
✓	Speed and Snow	10.1
✓	Speed and Muddy	8.4
✓	Speed and Wet	3.5





C030: Weather

Crash Characteristics



Clear Roadside Countermeasures (Fatal Crashes)

- Tree (222), Vehicle (189), Ditch (106), Rollover (96)
- RoRoad Right (53), Embankment (45), Culvert (40)
- Over-Represented Speed vs Non-speed (Odds Ratio)
 - Single-vehicle crashes (3.5)
 - Ran-off-the road left and right (4.8)
 - Bridge Abutment or Concrete Barrier (6.6)
 - Guardrail or Overturned (5.2)
 - Negotiating a curve (8.6)

6	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cr	ash Data	~	Speeding	9			✓ ♥ 1/ 1/2012 ∨ 12/31/2016 ∨
Order	: Max Gain 🗸 Desce	ending v	Suppres	s Zero-Valued F	Rows	Sig	nificance: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0
C017	First Harmful Event	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 📼	C017: First Harmful Event
•	Collision with Ditch	5966	13.86	14066	2.39	5.802*	4937.782	
	Collision with Tree	4805	11.16	10715	1.82	6.135*	4021.738	
	E Ran Off Road Right	3571	8.30	17735	3.01	2.755*	2274.579	
	Overtum/Rollover	2517	5.85	6150	1.04	5.599*	2067.438	
	E Ran Off Road Left	2237	5.20	9163	1.56	3.340*	1567.189	Speed (red bars) vs
	E Collision with Embankment	1446	3.36	2410	0.41	8.208*	1269.830	non-speed (blue
	E Collision with Concrete Barrier	1438	3.34	2675	0.45	7.354*	1242.459	harc)
	E Collision with Guardrail Face	1224	2.84	3072	0.52	5.451*	999.438	Darsj
	Collision with Utility Pole	1268	2.95	4806	0.82	3.609*	916.684	CY 2012-2016
	Collision with Fence	903	2.10	2357	0.40	5.241*	730.704	
	Collision with Sign Post	934	2.17	3398	0.58	3.760*	685.608	
	Collision with Culvert Headwall	747	1.74	2171	0.37	4.707*	588.301	Items with > 600
	Collision with Mailbox	785	1.82	3221	0.55	3.334*	549.546	speeding crashes
	Collision with Bridge Abutment	603	1.40	1387	0.24	5.947*	501.611	
	Collision with Other Fixed Obj	747	1.74	4059	0.69	2.518*	450.289	
	E Collision with Curb/Island/R	648	1.51	3111	0.53	2.849*	420.587	
	E Evasive Action (Swerve/Br	655	1.52	4568	0.78	1.962*	321.081	
	Collision with Parked Motor V	505	1.17	28936	4.91	0.239*	-1610.209	
	Collision with Vehicle in Traffic	12038	27.97	464745	78.94	0.354*	-21934.655	Sort by Sum of Max Gain
) 😪 🖉							Display Filter Name



🖡 CARE 10.1.0.19 - [Crosstab Results - 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Filter = Speed FH... 🗕 🗖

2.01%

101

2.14%

4721

12.18%

1.58%

102

1.70%

5999

15.48%

2.59%

14

1.51%

926

2.39%

Collision with Other Fixed Objec

TOTAL

🚦 <u>F</u> ile <u>D</u> ashb	ooard <u>F</u> ilters <u>/</u>	<u>A</u> nalysis <u>C</u> rossta	b <u>L</u> ocations <u>T</u>	ools <u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp		_ & ×			
2012-2016	Alabama Integrated C	Crash Data	~	Speed FHE > 10 Fat	al Crshes	~	¶ ¶ 1/ 1/2012 ∨ 12/31/2016 ∨			
Suppress Zero Va	Suppress Zero Values: Rows and Columns 🗸 Select Cells: 🔳 🗸 🧭 🛜 Column: Crash Severity ; Row: First Harmful Event 👰									
	Fatal Injury	Incapacitating Injury	Non- Incapacitating Inju	Possible Injury	Property Damage Only	TOTAL				
E Ran Off Road	53	286	513	374	2220	3446				
Right	5.72%	6.06%	8.55%	11.60%	9.29%	8.89%				
E Ran Off Road	37	176	309	228	1385	2135	Speed crash First			
Left	4 00%	3.73%	5 15%	7.07%	5.80%	5.51%				
Overturn/Rollover	96 10.37%	535 11.33%	583	172	1100	2486 6.41%	Hazardous Event by			
Collision with	189	909	1334	1334	8079	11845	basis for the chart			
Vehicle in Traffic	20.41%	19.25%	22.24%	41.36%	33.82%	30.56%				
Collision with	40	187	151	49	313	740	on the next display.			
Culvert Headwall	4.32%	3.96%	2.52%	1.52%	1.31%	1.91%				
Collision with	106	868	1014	333	3580	5901	Items with > 10 fatal			
Ditch	11.45%	18.39%	16.90%	10.33%	14.99%	15.22%				
E Collision with	45	242	316	78	752	1433	speeding crashes			
Embankment	4.86%	5.13%	5.27%	2.42%	3.15%	3.70%				
E Collision with	13	73	122	80	924	1212	over 2012-2016			
Guardrail Face	1.40%	1.55%	2.03%	2.48%	3.87%	3.13%				
E Collision with	12	29	34	16	135	226	This and further			
Guardrail End	1.30%	0.61%	0.57%	0.50%	0.57%	0.58%				
Collision with	222	896	1004	306	2323	4751	similar analyses can			
Tree	23.97%	18.98%	16.74%	9.49%	9.72%	12.26%				
Collision with	37	156	221	96	739	1249	be used to prioritize			
Utility Pole	4.00%	3.30%	3.68%	2.98%	3.09%	3.22%				
Collision with Sign	20	87	98	49	672	926	clear roadside			
Post	2.16%	1.84%	1.63%	1.52%	2.81%	2.39%				
Collision with	18	81	103	26	665	893	contermeasures.			
Fence	1.94%	1.72%	1.72%	0.81%	2.78%	2.30%				
Collision with Mailbox	24 2.59%	95 2.01%	95 1.58%	36	530	780 2.01%				

1.12%

48

1.49%

3225

8.32%

2.22%

473

1.98%

23890

61.63%

2.01%

738

1.90%

38761

100.00%

х

2012-2016 Severity by 1st Harmful Event 30 or more Speed Involved Fatal Crashes Ordered Descending by Fatal Crashes





C023: E Manner of Crash

1	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cra	ash Data	~	Speeding				▼ ₹ 1/ 1/2012 ∨ 12/31/2016 ∨
Order	Max Gain 🗸 Desce	ending v	Suppres	s Zero-Valued R	ows	Sig	nificance: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0
C204	ECU Sequence of Events #1	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 🔻	C204: E CU Sequence of Events #1
•	Ran Off Road Right	13637	31.49	39265	6.96	4.523*	10622.228	
	Ran Off Road Left	7812	18.04	19701	3.49	5.164*	6299.354	
	Evasive Action (Swerve/Brake)	5028	11.61	29457	5.22	2.223*	2766.287	
	Crossed Centerline	2459	5.68	12997	2.30	2.464*	1461.089	
	Collision with Concrete Barrier	732	1.69	1467	0.26	6.499*	619.364	
	Ran Off Road Straight	821	1.90	3135	0.56	3.411*	580.294	
	Collision with Ditch	527	1.22	1833	0.33	3.745*	386.262	Speed (red bars) vs
	Overtum/Rollover	406	0.94	1056	0.19	5.007*	324.920	non-speed (blue bars)
	Collision with Guardrail Face	380	0.88	900	0.16	5.499*	310.898	non-speed (blue bars)
	Collision with Curb/Island/Rai	490	1.13	2337	0.41	2.731*	310.565	CY 2012-2016
	Collision with Bridge Abutment	304	0.70	582	0.10	6.803*	259.314	
	Collision with Tree	353	0.82	1823	0.32	2.522*	213.030	
	Collision with Utility Pole	202	0.47	1599	0.28	1.645*	79.229	Speed Crashes > 100
	Collision with Sign Post	133	0.31	886	0.16	1.955*	64.973	
	Crossed Median	117	0.27	891	0.16	1.710*	48.589	
	Collision with Mailbox	105	0.24	944	0.17	1.449*	32.520	
	Collision with Other Fixed Object	150	0.35	2182	0.39	0.895	-17.534	
	Collision with Vehicle in (or fro	171	0.39	13061	2.32	0.171*	-831.825	
	Collision with Parked Motor Ve	291	0.67	25683	4.55	0.148*	-1680.944	
	Collision with Vehicle in Traffic	9185	21.21	404188	71.67	0.296*	-21848.611	Sort by Sum of Max Gain



C204: E CU Sequence of Events #1

🖡 CARE 10.1.0.19 - [IMPACT Results - 2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Speeding vs. Not S... 🗕 🗖

E E	i le <u>D</u>ashboard <u>F</u>ilters <u>A</u>	nalysis <u>I</u> mpao	t <u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> ir	ndow <u>H</u> elp			_ & ×
6	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cr	ash Data	~	Speeding			~	✓ ♥ 1/ 1/2012 ∨ 12/31/2016 ∨
Order	Natural Order V Ascen	nding V	Suppress	Zero-Valued R	ows	Sig	nificance: Over	Representation V Threshold: 2.0 主
C051:	Number of Vehicles	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain	C045: ALDOT Area
▶	1 Vehicle	31997	69.80	127434	19.64	3.554*	22994.420	C047: ADECAAHSO Region
	2 Vehicles	11953	26.07	485459	74.81	0.349*	-22342.270	C048: Regional Planning Organization
	3 Vehicles 1546		3.37	30962	4.77	0.707*	-641.312	C049: Has Coordinate
	4 Vehicles	270	0.59	4201	0.65	0.910	-26.780	C051: Number of Vehicles
	5 Vehicles	52	0.11	624	0.10	1.180	7.917	C052: Number of Drivers Recorded
	6 Vehicles	15	0.03	141	0.02	1.506	5.039	C053: Number of Persons Recorded
	7 Vehicles	3	0.01	47	0.01	0.904	-0.320	C054: Number of Motorists Recorded
	8 Vehicles	3	0.01	15	0.00	2.831	1.940	C055: Number of Redestrians
	9 Vehicles	1	0.00	4	0.00	3.539	0.717	C057: Number of Pedacyclists
	11 Vehicles	1	0.00	1	0.00	14.155	0.929	Sort by Sum of Max Gain
110								Display Filter Name

х

2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data

C051: Number of Vehicles





C129: CU Vehicle Maneuvers

Vehicle Characteristics



- Largest number but relatively small Odds Ratio
- Most Apt to Involve Speed
 - Motorcycle (2.8 times expected)
 - ATV off road (2.4 times expected)
- Prob of Fatality for Incap Injury or Worse
 - Passenger car (1 in 12); Pick-up (1 in 11); SUV (1 in 14)
 - Motorcycle (1 in 7); Large truck (1 in 22)
 - **Over-Represented Model Years**
 - All model years 1989-2006
 - Highly over-represented (15-30%): 1995-2006

6	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Cra	ash Data	*	Speeding			~	9 😨	1/ 1/2012 v 12/3	1/2016 🗸 🔮		
Order	∵ Odds Ratio 🗸 Desce	nding v	Suppress	s Zero-Valued Ro	ows	Si	ignificance: Over	Representation	✓ Threshold:	2.0 🛓		
C101	: Causal Unit (CU) Type	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain	C101: Causa	al Unit (CU) Type			
	Motorcycle	989	2.17	4798	0.78	2.798	635.545					
	E 4-Wheel Off Road ATV	83	0.18	469	0.08	2.402	48.450					
	Pick-Up (Four-Tire Light Truck)	9140	20.06	116368	18.82	1.066	• 567.496					
	Passenger Car	25190	55.29	323746	52.35	1.056	• 1340.542		.,	、		
	E Sport Utility Vehicle (SUV)	8282	18.18	120316	19.46	0.934	• -581.342	Speed	Speed (red bars)			
	Station Wagon	128	0.28	2160	0.35	0.804	• -31.121	non-speed (blue bar CY 2012-2016				
	E Tractor/Semi-Trailer	536	1.18	11742	1.90	0.620	-329.000					
	E Van or Mini-Van	259	0.57	6362	1.03	0.553	-209.671					
	E Mini-van	466	1.02	11888	1.92	0.532	• -409.756					
	E Single-Unit Truck (3 Axles or	86	0.19	2358	0.38	0.495	-87.707					
	E Single-Unit Truck (2-Axle/6	173	0.38	5211	0.84	0.451	-210.880					
	E Cargo Van (10000 lbs or Less)	111	0.24	3379	0.55	0.446	-137.921					
	E Passenger Van	57	0.13	1783	0.29	0.434	-74.349					
	E Unknown Type of Motorized	58	0.13	5926	0.96	0.133	-378.552	Sort by Sum	n of Max Gain			
	📋 🕼 🚳 🖋											
	2012-2016 Alabama Integrated Crash Data											
				C101- Ca	eal Unit (CU) Ty	ma						



Causal Unit Type (C101) by Crash Severity Speed Involved Crashes CY 2012-2016





Pedestrian Behavior



- Only about 0.14% of Speed Crashes
- # Ped Involved over 4 years = 67
- Over-Rep Ped Behavior in Fatal Crashes:
 - Improper crossing
 - In roadway
 - Not visible



C056: Number of Pedestrians


Recommended Countermeasures

Countermeasure Development - 1

Speed Reduction to Reduce Fatalities



Analysis: Fatal Crash AND Speed vs Fatal and NOT Speeding

- Rural roadways about 77% of speed fatalities
- County roads almost 50% of speed fatalities
- Younger Drivers 16-35 (60% speed vs 37% non-speed)
- Potential Immediate Actions:
 - Increase in patrol officers ALEA and local
 - Demonstration speed reduction project (comprehensive)
 - Legislative action to recognize problem
 - Assure compliance with selective enforcement targeting
 - Roadway improvements: trees, rollovers, utility poles, culverts, ditches, embankments (Most Harmful Event)

Countermeasure Development - 2

Seatbelt Use Target Groups

Analysis: Fatal NOT Restrained vs NonF Properly Restrained

- DUI (5 times the expected)
- Other Severe Violations
 - Speed (8.3); Aggressive (5.4)
- Age 16-20 (risk); 21-37 (correlation with DUI)
- Single Vehicle Crashes (3.3 times expected)
- Potential Immediate Actions
 - Get "Budweiser" to promote seatbelt use ("save our customers")
 - PI&E targeting the worst offenders
 - Their friends and relatives people of influence over them
 - Draw targets from intensive psychological studies

Countermeasure Development - 3

Multi-Fatality Crash Target Groups



Analysis: Multiple Fatality Crashes vs Single Fatality Crashes

- Age 16-21
- State/Federal Roads as Opposed to County
- Severest of Violations
 - Cross centerline, wrong way, aggressive driving
 - DUI same as for single fatality crashes & seatbelts
- Collisions with other Vehicles
 - As opposed to roadside objects (e.g., trees)
- Countermeasures Must Target Worst Offenders

Most Counter-Intuitive Findings

- Weather Conditions and Speed
 - Lowest % speed related fatalities occur in rain
 - Speed increases wet pavement crash % by a factor of 3.5
- Impaired Driving and Speed
 - **/ DUI alcohol use increases 69% for speed crashes**
 - Reported drug use increases 44% for speed crashes
- Age and Speed
 - Highest frequency ages, in order: 18, 17, 19, 20, 16
 - Drop-off after 20 is consistent to 33
 - Ages 16-21 cause about 33% of all speed crashes
 - Six years = 6/50 = 12% of the miles driven (approximate)
 - Roadway
 - More County speed fatal crashes than I/S/F combined
 - Trees are Most Deadly Hit Objects in Speed Crashes





CENTER for ADVANCED PUBLIC SAFETY

THANK YOU

Q&A SESSION

David B. Brown, brown@cs.ua.edu Rhonda Stricklin rstricklin@cs.ua.edu Jesse Norris jesse.norris@ua.edu

Center for Advanced Public Safety Tuscaloosa, AL | (866) 349-2273 caps.ua.edu

Connect with UACAPS







Saved v05 to Box and sent it to Rhonda and Jesse. Save into v06 for updates.

Position Marker