

Effect of Weather on Traffic Safety

(Alabama 2012 Crash Data)

David B. Brown

<u>orown@cs.ua.edu</u>

For more information on weather and traffic safety, see http://www.safehomealabama.gov/tag/weather/

January 10, 2014

Question: Overall Weather Effects Multiple Choice What proportion of crashes in Alabama occur in rainy weather? a. 10% **b.** 20% **c. 30%**







Question: Weather Crash Increase Effects

Does knowing that wet weather is involved in 16% of all crashes tell us anything about how much wet weather (including wet pavement) increases crash frequency?

What is the crash frequency increase correlated to wet pavement?



Definitions for the Comparison

- Wet Pavement (WP) from C403 and C583
- Wet Day (WD) == 200 or More "WP" Crashes
 - Daily average for all crashes is 352
 - 200/352 = 57% minimum to be a wet day
- Dry Day == Zero WP Crashes Occurred
- Comparison is on a Crash-Per-Day Basis

Question: Specific Weather Effects Multiple Choice What is the crash frequency increase correlated to wet days as defined above? a. 20% **b.** 40% **c.** 60%



Comparison of Wet and Dry Days (Overall: 352 crashes per day)

- Wet: 13,423 Crashes in 29 Days (463 C/Day)
- Dry: 14,869 Crashes in 46 Days (323 C/Day)
- Increase of 463-323 = 140 Crashes per Day
- Over 40% (43.2%) More Crashes per Day
- Conclusion: Rain is a Major Factor
 - In crash causation
 - What about severity?

Question: Wet weather Crash Severity?

True of False:

The severity of wet crashes is about the same as that for dry weather crashes.





Question: EMS Response Time

- Multiple Choice: Average response time for wet weather Injury EMS crash increases by ... a. Less than a Minute b. 1-5 Minutes
- c. 5-10 Minutes





Question: Wettest Months?

True of False:

October and November are among some of Alabama's wettest months.





Question: Time of Day

True of False:

Wet weather crashes tend to be most over-represented during rush hours as opposed to night-time.





Question: Rural or Urban

True of False:

Rural crashes seem to be more sensitive to increases caused by wet weather than those in the urban areas.





Question: Highway Classification

True of False:

Interstate highways have higher sensitivity to wet weather than state routes.





Question: Contributing Circumstances

True of False:

Following too closely is reported to be more of a problem in causing wet weather crashes than dry weather crashes.





Question: First Harmful Event

True of False:

While "collisions with other vehicles" account for the majority of wet weather harmful events, it is under-represented compared to dry-weather crashes.



C017:	First Harmful Event							C010: Rural or Urban
	Value	Subset	Subset	Other	Other	Odds	Max Gain 🗸 🔺	C011: Highway Classifications
	Value	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	Ratio		C012: Controlled Access
	Collision with Ditch	1104	5.36	2838	2.64	2.033*	560.920	C013: E Highway Side
	Collision with Tree	876	4.25	2125	1.97	2.154*	469.359	C014: Distance from Node 1
	E Ran Off Road Right	962	4.67	2890	2.69	1.740*	408.969	C015: Primary Contributing Circumstan
	E Ran Off Road Left	597	2.90	1480	1.38	2.108*	313.787	C017: First Harmful Event
	E Collision with Concrete Barrier	300	1.46	304	0.28	5.157*	241.826	C018: Location First Harmful Event Rel
	E Collision with Guardrail Face	271	1.32	519	0.48	2.729*	171.684	C019: E Most Harmful Event
•	E Evasive Action (Swerve/Bra	239	1.16	690	0.64	1.810*	106.961	C020: E Distracted Driving
	E Collision with Embankment	190	0.92	574	0.53	1.730*	80.159	C022: E Type of Roadway Junction/Fea
	Collision with Sign Post	206	1.00	661	0.61	1.629*	79.511	C023: E Manner of Crash
	Collision with Bridge Abutment/	115	0.56	234	0.22	2.568*	70.222	4
	Collision with Utility Pole	252	1.22	959	0.89	1.373*	68.486 👻	Sort by Sum of Max Gain
00) 🕼 🖉							🔲 Display Filter Name
				2012 Alabama	Integrated Crash	Data		
				C017: Fir	st Harmful Event			
	80							
	60				1			
	6 			Collis	sion wit	n anoth	er venici	e
L L	20							
	-							
	0	E Collis	ion with Cable B	arrier	P Collisi	on with Boulder	Collision	with Non-Motorist: Pedalovole
		2 0000		C01	17: First Harmful	Event	Completion	



Question: Intersection Involvement

True of False:

Relatively fewer wet (than dry) weather crashes occur at intersections.





Question: Commercial Motor Vehicles (CMV)

True of False:

Commercial Motor Vehicles (CMVs) are Involved in about the same proportion of wet weather crashes as private vehicles.





Question: Motorcycles

True of False:

Motorcycles cause more than their share of wet weather crashes.





Question: Causal Driver

True of False:

Compared to younger drivers, older drivers have greater problems in wet weather.



C107: CU Driver Raw Age C107: CU Driver Raw Age											
	Value	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain	^			
	16	739	3.80	2886	3.05	1.245*	145.304				
	17	852	4.38	3164	3.35	1.309*	201.115	Ξ			
	18	940	4.83	3542	3.75	1.290*	211.354				
	19	921	4.73	3731	3.94	1.200*	153.474				
	20	816	4.19	3334	3.53	1.190*	130.143				
	21	782	4.02	3153	3.33	1.206*	133.378				
	22	767	3.94	3032	3.21	1.230*	143.269				
	23	639	3.28	2746	2.90	1.131*	74.104				
	24	556	2.86	2463	2.60	1.097	49.322				
	25	542	2.79	2384	2.52	1.105	51.573				
	26	498	2.56	2222	2.35	1.089	40.899	-	Sort by Sum of Max Gain		
00) 🕼 🖉								🔲 Display Filter Name		
				2012 Alabama	Integrated Crash	Data					
				C107: CU	Driver Raw Age						
	6-										
	_										
	≥ ⁴	- 1100									
	2		100 mar								
				in the state of the second sec	nsiainaia.						
						i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		litina			
	0	19		39		59		79	99 or Older		
				C10	7. CU Driver Bay	w Age					

Question: Driver Gender

True of False:

Men have more of a problem with wet weather than women do.





Question: Model Year

True of False:

Newer vehicles have fewer problems with wet weather.





Question: Grade and Curvature

True of False:

Grade and curvature do not have much of an impact on wet weather crashes.



C407	: CU Roadway Curvature and Gr	C330: CU Driver/Non-Motorist Transpor							
	Value	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 💌	Â	C331: E CU Driver/Non-Motorist Transp C401: E CU Involved Road/Bridge
•	Straight with Down Grade	2542	12.34	9756	9.07	1.361*	674.096		C402: E CU Road Surface Type
	E Curve Right and Down Grade	749	3.64	1551	1.44	2.522*	452.042		C403: CU Roadway Condition
	E Curve Left and Down Grade	712	3.46	1697	1.58	2.191*	387.089	=	C404: E CU Environmental Contributing C405: CU Contributing Material in Roac
	E Curve Left and Level	702	3.41	2433	2.26	1.507*	236.173		C406: CU Contributing Material Source
	E Curve Right and Up Grade	370	1.80	1128	1.05	1.713*	154.031		C407: CU Roadway Curvature and Grad
	E Curve Right and Level	700	3.40	2871	2.67	1.273*	150.312		C408: CU Vision Obscured By
	E Curve Left and Up Grade	316	1.53	943	0.88	1.750*	135.451		C409: CU Traffic Control
	P Curve with Down Grade*	116	0.56	216	0.20	2.805*	74.644		C410: CU Traffic Control Functioning C411: CU Opposing Lane Separation
	P Curve and Level*	128	0.62	383	0.36	1.746*	54.670		۰
	P Curve with Up Grade*	59	0.29	150	0.14	2.054*	30.281	Ŧ	Sort by Sum of Max Gain

📋 🕼 🚳 🖉

Display Filter Name

2012 Alabama Integrated Crash Data





Question: Workzone Effects

True of False:

Workzone related crashes are reduced when the weather is wet.







Roundtable Input and Questions Thank You!



Weather Analyses Bad Weather Crash Comparison (Alabama 2013 vs. 2012 Crash Data) David B. Brown brown@cs.ua.edu

For more information on weather and traffic safety, see http://www.safehomealabama.gov/tag/weather/

February 11, 2014

Introduction

This study was conducted because a large disparity in weather related crashes occurred in 2013 as opposed to 2012. The comparison is between what is defined in Slide 3 to be "bad" weather for 2013 (red bars) vs. 2012 (blue bars).

Unfortunately, a large portion of the weather occurred at the late-night weekend hours, which is concurrent with the heavy drinking hours. These effects tended to mask each other – that is, it is impossible to tell whether the effects were due to DUI or the bad weather. A further analysis determined that the bad weather non-DUI crashes had the same basic characteristics as the entire population. Thus, the results obtained for the entire comparison are valid.

There was little new over previous studies revealed in the comparison. See: http://www.technolytix.com/uploads/2/2/7/6/22761914/weather impacts trcc_feb_11_2014-v01.pdf and it is not recommended that further work be done to publish these results. It is recommended that an IMPACT be done the snow-sleet-icy weather of 2013 to determine if there are any surprises to be found in that comparison.





	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	TOTAL	
1	181	1	48	13	99	46	23	42	12	0	99	4	568	1
	5.59%	0.05%	3./9%	0.8/%	7.78%	4.12%	1.11%	3.11%	1.41%	0.00%	5.2/%	0.1/%	2.85%	
2	24	102	61	1	59	21	5	0	41	22	0	188	524	
	0./4%	4./5%	4.82%	0.0/%	4.64%	1.88%	0.24%	0.00%	4.81%	2.46%	0.00%	8.05%	2.63%	
3	29	6	10	266	253	20	1/1	1/	5/	/	0	14/	983	
	0.90%	0.28%	0.79%	17.85%	19.89%	1./9%	8.23%	1.26%	0.08%	0.78%	0.00%	6.29%	4.93%	
4	0.02%	0.79%	0.09%	289	199	116%	219	10	0.59%	5	2	70	831	
	0.03%	50	61	26	70	1/6	222	27	6	0.50%	0.11%	126	956	
5	2 63%	2 70%	4 82%	1 74%	6 13%	13.07%	10 74%	2 74%	0.70%	0.00%	0.00%	5.82%	4.30%	
	33	6	0	0	145	26	326	112	0	186	18	271	1123	
6	1.02%	0.28%	0.00%	0.00%	11.40%	2.33%	15.70%	8.29%	0.00%	20.76%	0.96%	11.60%	5.64%	
-	1	165	0	0	5	144	106	66	1	10	73	34	605	111
/	0.03%	7.68%	0.00%	0.00%	0.39%	12.89%	5.10%	4.89%	0.12%	1.12%	3.88%	1.46%	3.04%	
0	0	78	0	0	1	8	72	44	0	0	0	226	429	17
õ	0.00%	3.63%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.72%	3.47%	3.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	9.67%	2.15%	
9	207	0	0	0	0	95	26	42	14	0	10	139	533	
3	6.40%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.50%	1.25%	3.11%	1.64%	0.00%	0.53%	5.95%	2.68%	
10	208	193	1	0	74	113	80	47	6	0	1	34	757	
	6.43%	8.98%	0.08%	0.00%	5.82%	10.12%	3.85%	3.48%	0.70%	0.00%	0.05%	1.46%	3.80%	
11	254	330	278	151	36	0	67	27	1	0	0	0	1144	
	7.85%	15.36%	21.96%	10.13%	2.83%	0.00%	3.23%	2.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.74%	
12	47	335	2	6	0	0	21	48	24	0	0	1	484	
	1.45%	15.59%	0.16%	0.40%	0.00%	0.00%	1.01%	3.55%	2.81%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	2.43%	
13	144	82	0	3	0	13	28	95	6	0	0	1	372	
	4.45%	3.82%	0.00%	0.20%	0.00%	1.16%	1.35%	7.03%	0.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	1.8/%	
14	329	0.00%	0.00%	141	0.00%	4	39	116	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	204	834	
	0.17%	0.00%	0.00%	3.40%	0.00%	0.30%	1.00%	6.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.73%	4.13%	·IU
15	10.91%	4	0.00%	0.20%	0.08%	0.18%	1 44%	3.70%	0.12%	0.00%	26.12%	4	333 4 71%	
	247	0.13%	0.00%	0.20%	2	21	1.11	3.70%	9	122	20.1276	0.17%	526	
16	10.72%	0.09%	0.00%	0.13%	0.24%	1.88%	0.05%	2.52%	1.06%	14 84%	1.81%	0.00%	2.94%	-
	444	0	0	6	204	115	5	141	4	184	184	0	1287	
17	13.72%	0.00%	0.00%	0.40%	16.04%	10.30%	0.24%	10.44%	0.47%	20.54%	9.79%	0.00%	6.46%	
10	36	6	126	0	70	90	17	178	2	7	37	0	569	1
18	1.11%	0.28%	9.95%	0.00%	5.50%	8.06%	0.82%	13.18%	0.23%	0.78%	1.97%	0.00%	2.86%	
10	1	43	3	218	17	16	19	52	0	79	0	0	448	1
19	0.03%	2.00%	0.24%	14.63%	1.34%	1.43%	0.91%	3.85%	0.00%	8.82%	0.00%	0.00%	2.25%	
20	0	9	0	0	1	9	50	29	51	0	1	21	171	
20	0.00%	0.42%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.81%	2.41%	2.15%	5.98%	0.00%	0.05%	0.90%	0.86%	
21	0	121	1	0	0	11	75	23	309	3	7	170	720	
	0.00%	5.63%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.98%	3.61%	1.70%	36.23%	0.33%	0.37%	7.28%	3.61%	
22	0	263	179	0	17	0	102	47	15	6	215	257	1101	-
	0.00%	10.01%	1/ 1/9/	0.00%	1 2/1 %	0.00%	1 / 01%	1 0 A 0 %	1 170%	0 67%	11 // 19/	11///%	E E0%	1

												Column. Month, Ho	and buy or monar	
	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	TOTAL	1^
11	7.85%	15.36%	21.96%	10.13%	2.83%	0.00%	3.23%	2.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.74%	-
	47	335	2	6	0	0	21	48	24	0	0	1	484	-
12	1.45%	15.59%	0.16%	0.40%	0.00%	0.00%	1.01%	3.55%	2.81%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	2.43%	-
10	144	82	0	3	0	13	28	95	6	0	0	1	372	
13	4.45%	3.82%	0.00%	0.20%	0.00%	1.16%	1.35%	7.03%	0.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	1.87%	
14	329	0	1	141	0	4	39	116	0	0	0	204	834	
14	10.17%	0.00%	0.08%	9.46%	0.00%	0.36%	1.88%	8.59%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.73%	4.19%	
15	353	4	0	3	1	2	30	50	1	0	491	4	939	
13	10.91%	0.19%	0.00%	0.20%	0.08%	0.18%	1.44%	3.70%	0.12%	0.00%	26.12%	0.17%	4.71%	
16	347	2	0	2	3	21	1	34	9	133	34	0	586	
	10.72%	0.09%	0.00%	0.13%	0.24%	1.88%	0.05%	2.52%	1.06%	14.84%	1.81%	0.00%	2.94%	-11
17	444	0	0	6	204	115	5	141	4	184	184	0	1287	- 11
	13.72%	0.00%	0.00%	0.40%	16.04%	10.30%	0.24%	10.44%	0.47%	20.54%	9.79%	0.00%	6.46%	-177
18	36	6	126	0	70	90	17	178	2	7	37	0	569	-88
	1.11%	0.28%	9.95%	0.00%	5.50%	8.06%	0.82%	13.18%	0.23%	0.78%	1.9/%	0.00%	2.86%	-11
19	1	43	3	218	1/	16	19	52	0	/9	0	0	448	-111
	0.03%	2.00%	0.24 %	14.63%	1.34 %	1.43%	0.91%	3.60%	0.00%	0.02 %	0.00%	0.00%	2.20%	-11
20	0.00%	9	0.00%	0.00%	1 0.00%	9 0.01%	00 2.41%	23	500%	0.00%	0.05%	21	0.00%	-11
	0.00%	121	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	11	2.41%	2.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.05%	170	720	-111
21	0.00%	5.63%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.98%	3.61%	1 70%	36.23%	0.33%	0.37%	7 28%	3.61%	-11
	0.00%	263	179	0.00%	17	0.50%	102	1.70%	15	6	215	257	1101	-111
22	0.00%	12 24%	14 14%	0.00%	1 34%	0.00%	4 91%	3 48%	1.76%	0.67%	11 44%	11.00%	5.53%	-111
	1	91	171	2	0	21	178	18	29	0	34	38	593	-111
23	0.03%	4.23%	13.51%	0.13%	0.00%	1.88%	8.57%	1.33%	4.57%	0.00%	1.81%	1.63%	2.98%	-111
	1	7	65	140	0	54	55	20	143	0	1	1	487	-11
24	0.03%	0.33%	5.13%	9.40%	0.00%	4.83%	2.65%	1.48%	16.76%	0.00%	0.05%	0.04%	2.44%	-111
05	117	168	2	2	0	11	3	3	53	0	156	1	516	- 8
25	3.62%	7.82%	0.16%	0.13%	0.00%	0.98%	0.14%	0.22%	6.21%	0.00%	8.30%	0.04%	2.59%	-11
20	8	60	6	12	0	5	0	1	1	0	504	0	597	
26	0.25%	2.79%	0.47%	0.81%	0.00%	0.45%	0.00%	0.07%	0.12%	0.00%	26.81%	0.00%	3.00%	
27	2	2	0	37	0	19	22	0	0	24	13	1	120	
27	0.06%	0.09%	0.00%	2.48%	0.00%	1.70%	1.06%	0.00%	0.00%	2.68%	0.69%	0.04%	0.60%	
28	7	0	0	135	1	63	11	0	0	79	0	308	604	
20	0.22%	0.00%	0.00%	9.06%	0.08%	5.64%	0.53%	0.00%	0.00%	8.82%	0.00%	13.18%	3.03%	
29	41	0	0	18	6	21	3	0	2	0	0	40	131	
20	1.27%	0.00%	0.00%	1.21%	0.47%	1.88%	0.14%	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.00%	1.71%	0.66%	-11
30	334	0	138	19	0	10	20	26	51	0	0	17	615	
	10.32%	0.00%	10.90%	1.28%	0.00%	0.90%	0.96%	1.92%	5.98%	0.00%	0.00%	0.73%	3.09%	_
31	1	0	112	0	3	0	80	26	0	151	0	23	396	_
	0.03%	0.00%	8.85%	0.00%	0.24%	0.00%	3.85%	1.92%	0.00%	16.85%	0.00%	0.98%	1.99%	_
TOTAL	3236	2149	1266	1490	1272	1117	2077	1351	853	896	1880	2336	19923	
	16.24%	10.79%	6.35%	/.48%	6.38%	5.61%	10.43%	6.78%	4.28%	4.50%	9.44%	11.73%	100.00%	





CARE 10.0.0.31 - [IMPACT Results - 2012-2014 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Weather Issues And 2013 vs. Weather Issues And 2012]											
	ile <u>D</u> ashboard <u>F</u> ilters <u>A</u>	analysis <u>I</u> mpac	t <u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> i	ndow <u>H</u> elp			_ & ×			
\$ ° C	ata Source: 2012-2014 Alabama	Integrated Crash [)ata 👻 F	Filter: Weather	Issues And 2013			😪 🔯 1/ 1/2012 👻 7/27/2014 👻 🔮			
Orde	r: Max Gain 👻 Desce	ending 👻	Suppres	s Zero-Valued R	ows	:	Significance: Over	Representation Threshold: 2.0			
C002	City volue	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 🔻 📩	C005: Day of Month C004: Month			
	Montgomery Opelika Anniston Tuscaloosa Gadsden Mountain Brook	1093 256 243 617 241 100	5.49 1.29 1.22 3.10 1.21 0.50	815 158 149 445 154 50	5.20 1.01 0.95 2.84 0.98 0.32	1.054 1.274* 1.282* 1.090 1.230 1.572*	56.347 55.029 53.477 50.975 45.117 36.402	C125: E CU Driver Drug Test Type Giver C127: E CU Driver Drug Test Results C043: Agency ORI C002: City C124: CU Driver Alcohol Test Type Giver C126: CU Driver Alcohol Test Results C112: CU Driver First License Class			
	Prattville Gardendale Oxford Rural Etowah Boaz Aubum	138 64 149 128 64 201	0.69 0.32 0.75 0.64 0.32 1.01	80 22 90 79 30 138	0.51 0.14 0.57 0.50 0.19 0.88	1.356 2.287* 1.302 1.274 1.677* 1.145	36.243 36.017 34.523 27.515 25.841 25.469	C208: CU Model Year C001: County C031: Lighting Conditions C028: Mileposted Route C032: Weather C008: Time of Day C015: Primary Contributing Circumstany			
	Rural Marshall Vestavia Hills Trussville Chelsea Rural Houston	95 185 139 33 67	0.48 0.93 0.70 0.17 0.34	55 127 92 9 36	0.35 0.81 0.59 0.06 0.23	1.358 1.145 1.188 2.883 1.463	25.042 23.460 21.979 21.552 21.209	C204: E CU Sequence of Events #1 C224: CU Estimated Speed at Impact C107: CU Driver Raw Age C404: E CU Environmental Contributing C511: V2 Driver License State			
	D 😪 🖉							🔲 Display Filter Name			

CAR	CARE 10.0.0.31 - [IMPACT Results - 2012-2014 Alabama Integrated Crash Data - Weather Issues And 2013 vs. Weather Issues And 2012]												
🖡 Ei	ile <u>D</u> ashboard <u>F</u> ilters <u>A</u>	nalysis <u>I</u> mpac	t <u>L</u> ocations	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> i	ndow <u>H</u> elp			×					
😵 Da	ata Source: 2012-2014 Alabama	Integrated Crash	Data 🔻 I	- ilter: Weather	Issues And 2013		-	🛜 🔞 1/ 1/2012 👻 7/27/2014 👻 🥞					
Order	Max Gain 🗸 Desce	ending 🚽	Suppres	s Zero-Valued R	ows		Significance: Over	Representation Threshold: 2.0					
C002:	City	Subset Frequency	Subset Percent	Other Frequency	Other Percent	Odds Ratio	Max Gain 🔻 🗖	C005: Day of Month C004: Month					
	Decatur	263	1.32	220	1.40	0.940	-16.833	C006: Day of the Week E					
	Moody	25	0.13	33	0.21	0.596	-16.975	C125: E CU Driver Drug Test Type Giver					
	Dothan	405	2.03	336	2.15	0.948	-22.381	C127: E CU Driver Drug Test Results					
	Irondale	17	0.09	31	0.20	0.431	-22.431	C002: City					
	Rural St. Clair	146	0.73	133	0.85	0.863	-23.172	C124: CU Driver Alcohol Test Type Giver					
	Rural Limestone	123	0.62	116	0.74	0.834	-24.548	C126: CU Driver Alcohol Test Results					
	Hoover	472	2.37	393	2.51	0.944	-27.883	C112: CU Driver First License Class					
	Troy	75	0.38	83	0.53	0.710	-30.573	C113: CU Driver Second License Class					
	Fort Payne	45	0.23	61	0.39	0.580*	-32.590	C208. CO Model Year					
	Phenix City	247	1.24	220	1.40	0.883	-32.833	C031: Lighting Conditions					
	Madison	187	0.94	173	1.10	0.850	-33.050	C028: Mileposted Route					
	Saraland	58	0.29	73	0.47	0.625*	-34.854	C032: Weather					
	Rural Morgan	138	0.69	136	0.87	0.798	-34.987	C008: Time of Day					
	Rural Tuscaloosa	180	0.90	181	1.16	0.782*	-50.226	C204: E CU Sequence of Events #1					
	Rural Mobile	253	1.27	248	1.58	0.802*	-62.448	C224: CU Estimated Speed at Impact					
	Rural Jefferson	504	2.53	452	2.89	0.877	-70.929	C107: CU Driver Raw Age					
	Birmingham	1375	6.90	1247	7,96	0.867*	-211.143	C404: E CU Environmental Contributing					
	Mobile	1441	7.23	1304	8.33	0.869*	-217.645	C511: V2 Driver License State					
	1	1 I					-	Sort by Sum of Max Gain					

📋 🕼 🚳 🖉

Display Filter Name



C001: County



C001: County











No Significant Differences Weather Involved 2012 vs. 2013 ...

- Rural/Urban and Highway Classification
- Ambulance and Police Delay Times
- Location of First Harmful Event (on/off Road)
- Crash Severity; CMV Involvement
- Number of Vehicles Involved
- Driver Aspects Age, Gender, etc.

Resolving the Dilemma

- The Question:
 - Did DUI cause the time concentration?
 - Or, did the time concentrations cause the DUI?
- The Solution:
 - Remove DUI from both the subsets
 - Re-run the time analyses







Resolving the Dilemma

- The Question:
 - Did DUI cause the time concentration?
 - Answer: No!
 - Unfortunate timing of bad weather in 2013
 Coincided with DUI over-represented times
- Conclusion: All Other Findings are Applicable



Roundtable Input and Questions

Thank You!!!

For more information on weather and traffic safety, see http://www.safehomealabama.gov/tag/weather/